# SZEGO THEOREMS FOR TRUNCATED TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

Elizabeth Strouse

IMB, Université de Bordeaux.

Birthday Conference for Tom Ransford, May 25,2018

## INTERESTING COMMENTS CONCERNING TOM RANSFORD

#### CONTENT OF MY TALK

Today I will be talking about some very classical objects :

TOEPLITZ OPERATORS; TOEPLITZ MATRICES; AND CIRCULANT MATRICES

#### CONTENT OF MY TALK

Today I will be talking about some very classical objects :

# TOEPLITZ OPERATORS; TOEPLITZ MATRICES; AND CIRCULANT MATRICES

and how the Classical Szego theorem uses the circulants to establish a relationship between the symbol of a Toeplitz operator and the spectrum of a sequence of 'approximating' Toeplitz matrices...

#### CONTENT OF MY TALK

Today I will be talking about some very classical objects :

# TOEPLITZ OPERATORS; TOEPLITZ MATRICES; AND CIRCULANT MATRICES

and how the Classical Szego theorem uses the circulants to establish a relationship between the symbol of a Toeplitz operator and the spectrum of a sequence of 'approximating' Toeplitz matrices...

then about objects that have aroused a lot of interest recently :

# TRUNCATED TOEPLITZ OPERATORS a.k.a. TTO's and CLARK OPERATORS

and a new 'Szego Theorem' that Dan Timotin, Mohamed Zarrabi and I established recently (J. Approx Theory, Aug 2017) by using Clark operators and Sedlock algebras (which generalize circulants) to establish a relationship between the symbol of a Toeplitz operator and the spectrum of a sequence of 'approximating' TTO's.

3/23

#### **CLASSICAL TOEPLITZ OPERATORS AND MATRICES**

Our operators will be working on a variety of spaces :

#### **CLASSICAL TOEPLITZ OPERATORS AND MATRICES**

Our operators will be working on a variety of spaces :

(1) The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{P}_n$  = the polynomials of degree  $\leq n$  equipped with the usual inner product inherited from  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ;

Our operators will be working on a variety of spaces :

(1) The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{P}_n$  = the polynomials of degree  $\leq n$  equipped with the usual inner product inherited from  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ; (2)  $H^2$  the Hardy space viewed as (1)the closed subspace of functions of  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  of functions whose negative Fourier coefficients are equal to zero  $\cong \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  with the usual inner product  $\cong$  the set of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  of the form :

$$\{f = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n z^n, \sum_{n \ge 0} |a_n|^2 < +\infty\}.$$

using the (well defined) map taking such a function to its boundary values (which exist almost everywhere).

Our operators will be working on a variety of spaces :

(1) The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{P}_n$  = the polynomials of degree  $\leq n$  equipped with the usual inner product inherited from  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ; (2)  $H^2$  the Hardy space viewed as (1)the closed subspace of functions of  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  of functions whose negative Fourier coefficients are equal to zero  $\cong \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  with the usual inner product  $\cong$  the set of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  of the form :

$$\{f = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n z^n, \sum_{n \ge 0} |a_n|^2 < +\infty\}.$$

using the (well defined) map taking such a function to its boundary values (which exist almost everywhere).

(3) Model spaces; or orthogonal complements in  $H^2$  of shift invariant subspaces (including (1)).

#### CLASSICAL TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

A classical Toeplitz operator (on  ${\cal H}^2)$  is usually viewed in one of two ways :

#### CLASSICAL TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

A classical Toeplitz operator (on  ${\cal H}^2)$  is usually viewed in one of two ways :

(1) As a matrix with constant diagonals which represents a bounded operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}).$ 

A classical Toeplitz operator (on  ${\cal H}^2)$  is usually viewed in one of two ways :

(1) As a matrix with constant diagonals which represents a bounded operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

(2) As the composition of a multiplication operator  $M_{\varphi}$  on  $H^2$  with the orthogonal projection from  $L^2$  to  $H^2$  - which can be shown to be bounded if and only if  $\varphi$  is a bounded function. In this case the matrix can be written :

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \left( \hat{\varphi}(0) & \hat{\varphi}(-1) & \hat{\varphi}(-2) & \cdots \right) \\ \hat{\varphi}(1) & \hat{\varphi}(0) & \hat{\varphi}(-1) & \ddots \\ \hat{\varphi}(2) & \hat{\varphi}(1) & \hat{\varphi}(0) & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{array} \right)$$

A classical Toeplitz matrice is, of course, an nxn matrix with constant diagonals; which can be interpreted as a compression of a Toeplitz matrix to the orthogonal complement of  $z^{n-1}H^2$ 

A classical Toeplitz matrice is, of course, an nxn matrix with constant diagonals; which can be interpreted as a compression of a Toeplitz matrix to the orthogonal complement of  $z^{n-1}H^2$ 

A classical Toeplitz matrice is, of course, an nxn matrix with constant diagonals; which can be interpreted as a compression of a Toeplitz matrix to the orthogonal complement of  $z^{n-1}H^2$ 

$$C_{(a_0,a_1,\cdots,a_n)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \\ a_n & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ \end{array} \right)$$
(1)

A classical Toeplitz matrice is, of course, an nxn matrix with constant diagonals; which can be interpreted as a compression of a Toeplitz matrix to the orthogonal complement of  $z^{n-1}H^2$ 

A classical Toeplitz matrice is, of course, an nxn matrix with constant diagonals; which can be interpreted as a compression of a Toeplitz matrix to the orthogonal complement of  $z^{n-1}H^2$ 

$$C_{(a_0,a_1,\cdots,a_n)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \\ a_n & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\ a_{n-1} & a_n & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ \end{array} \right)$$
(1)

A classical Toeplitz matrice is, of course, an nxn matrix with constant diagonals; which can be interpreted as a compression of a Toeplitz matrix to the orthogonal complement of  $z^{n-1}H^2$ 

$$C_{(a_0,a_1,\cdots,a_n)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \\ a_n & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\ a_{n-1} & a_n & a_0 & \cdots & a_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

A classical Toeplitz matrice is, of course, an nxn matrix with constant diagonals; which can be interpreted as a compression of a Toeplitz matrix to the orthogonal complement of  $z^{n-1}H^2$ 

$$C_{(a_0,a_1,\cdots,a_n)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \\ a_n & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\ a_{n-1} & a_n & a_0 & \cdots & a_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

A classical Toeplitz matrice is, of course, an nxn matrix with constant diagonals; which can be interpreted as a compression of a Toeplitz matrix to the orthogonal complement of  $z^{n-1}H^2$ 

A particularly interesting type of Toeplitz matrix is called a 'circulant matrix'. These are matrices of the form :

$$C_{(a_0,a_1,\cdots,a_n)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \\ a_n & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\ a_{n-1} & a_n & a_0 & \cdots & a_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n & a_0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

The most well-known circulant which interests us is the 'perturbed shift', of the form above, with  $a_n = w$  with |w| = 1 and all other entries equal to zero.

#### THE PERTURBED SHIFT

Here is the perturbed shift  $S_1$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2)

#### THE PERTURBED SHIFT

Here is the perturbed shift  $S_1$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (2)

And it is easy to see that :

(1) The eigenvalues of  $S_1$  are the (n)th roots of unity  $\zeta_k = e^{i\frac{2k\pi}{n}}$  with eigenvectors  $(1, \zeta_k, \zeta_k^2, \zeta_k^{n-1})^t$  and that;

#### THE PERTURBED SHIFT

Here is the perturbed shift  $S_1$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (2)

And it is easy to see that : (1) The eigenvalues of  $S_1$  are the (n)th roots of unity  $\zeta_k = e^{i\frac{2k\pi}{n}}$  with eigenvectors  $(1, \zeta_k, \zeta_k^2, \zeta_k^{n-1})^t$  and that ; (2) The general circulant matrix is just  $p(S_1)$  where p is the polynomial  $p(z) = a_0 + a_{n-1}z + a_{n-2}z^{n-1} + ...a_1z^{n-1}$  (the Toeplitz symbol of the matrix) and so :

trace(
$$C_{(a_0,a_1,\cdots,a_{n-1})}$$
) =  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} p(e^{i\frac{2k\pi}{n-1}})$ 

and so  $\frac{1}{n-1}$ trace $(C_{(a_0,a_1,\cdots,a_{n-1})})$  is a Riemann sum for the function p(z) around the unit circle.

#### NOTATION FOR THE GRENANDER-SZEGO THEOREM

These observations - along with a nice way of approaching Toeplitz matrices by circulant matrices can be used to prove the Grenander-Szego theorem - we give a little necessary notation to be able to write the theorem. These observations - along with a nice way of approaching Toeplitz matrices by circulant matrices can be used to prove the Grenander-Szego theorem - we give a little necessary notation to be able to write the theorem.

Let  $T_{\varphi}$  be a Toeplitz operator with symbol function  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we write  $T_n(\varphi)$  for the  $n \times n$  Toeplitz matrix  $(\widehat{\varphi}(i-j))_{0 \leq i,j \leq n-1}$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\varphi}(0) & \hat{\varphi}(-1) & \cdots & \hat{\varphi}(-n-1) \\ \hat{\varphi}(1) & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hat{\varphi}(n-1) & \hat{\varphi}(n-2) & \cdots & \hat{\varphi}(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

and m for normalized Lebesgue measure on the circle.

#### Theorem [U. Grenander-G. Szego] :

We have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k (T_n(\varphi))^p = \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(e^{it})^p dm(t),$$

where  $\lambda_k(T_n(\varphi))$ , k = 1...n, are the eigenvalues of  $T_n(\varphi)$ . Moreover when  $\varphi$  is a real valued function then for every continuous function f on  $[\inf_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi, \sup_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi]$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(\lambda_k(T_n(\varphi))) = \int_0^{2\pi} (f \circ \varphi)(e^{it}) dm(t).$$

#### OUR SZEGO THEOREM

My work with Dan Timotin and Mohamed Zarrabi was a generalization of the Szego type procedure; we 'approach' the spectrum of our Toeplitz operators by *Truncated Toeplitz operators* using an 'approximation' of the TTOs by elements of what are called Sedlock algebras. This was the clear thing to do, once we realized that the Sedlock algebras were the TTO generalization of circulant matrices.

#### OUR SZEGO THEOREM

My work with Dan Timotin and Mohamed Zarrabi was a generalization of the Szego type procedure; we 'approach' the spectrum of our Toeplitz operators by *Truncated Toeplitz operators* using an 'approximation' of the TTOs by elements of what are called Sedlock algebras. This was the clear thing to do, once we realized that the Sedlock algebras were the TTO generalization of circulant matrices.

We recall the definitions :

#### **Definition**:

Let u be an inner function and let  $K_u = H^2 \ominus uH^2$  be the model space associated with u. A truncated Toeplitz operator is an operator  $T_u[\varphi]: K_u \to K_u$  defined by

$$T_u[\varphi](g) = P_u(\varphi g),$$

where  $P_u$  is the orthogonal projection on  $K_u$ .

#### OUR SZEGO THEOREM

My work with Dan Timotin and Mohamed Zarrabi was a generalization of the Szego type procedure; we 'approach' the spectrum of our Toeplitz operators by *Truncated Toeplitz operators* using an 'approximation' of the TTOs by elements of what are called Sedlock algebras. This was the clear thing to do, once we realized that the Sedlock algebras were the TTO generalization of circulant matrices.

We recall the definitions :

#### **Definition**:

Let u be an inner function and let  $K_u = H^2 \ominus uH^2$  be the model space associated with u. A truncated Toeplitz operator is an operator  $T_u[\varphi]: K_u \to K_u$  defined by

$$T_u[\varphi](g) = P_u(\varphi g),$$

where  $P_u$  is the orthogonal projection on  $K_u$ .

and, of course, Toeplitz matrices are TTO's acting on  $K_{z^n}$ .

#### TTO CIRCULANTS

The intuitive idea behind all this is that a perturbed shift on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is obtained in the obvious way by adding a rank 1 operator to the shift to make it unitary :

$$U_w = S + w(1 \otimes z^{n-1})$$

where |w| = 1 and :

$$(w \otimes z^{n-1})(f) = \langle wf, z^{n-1} > 1$$

unitary because the shift 'kills'  $z^{n-1}$  and its adjoint 'kills' constants -and the perturbation remedies this. All rank one unitary perturbation of the shift are of this form.

#### TTO CIRCULANTS

The intuitive idea behind all this is that a perturbed shift on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is obtained in the obvious way by adding a rank 1 operator to the shift to make it unitary :

$$U_w = S + w(1 \otimes z^{n-1})$$

where |w| = 1 and :

$$(w \otimes z^{n-1})(f) = \langle wf, z^{n-1} > 1$$

unitary because the shift 'kills'  $z^{n-1}$  and its adjoint 'kills' constants -and the perturbation remedies this. All rank one unitary perturbation of the shift are of this form.

The operators called Clark operators generalize this idea to model spaces. These operators are rank 1 perturbations of the compression of the shift to the model space  $K_u$ , the operator  $S_u = T_u[z]$ .

#### CLARK OPERATORS

For an arbitrary inner function u, 1 is replaced by

$$k_0(z) = 1 - \overline{u(0)}u(z)$$

and  $z^{n-1}$  is replaced by

$$K_0(z) = \frac{u(z) - u(0)}{z}$$

so that our perturbed shift. called a 'Clark operator' is of the form :

$$S_u^w = S_u + w(k_0 \otimes K_0)$$

#### CLARK OPERATORS

For an arbitrary inner function u, 1 is replaced by

$$k_0(z) = 1 - \overline{u(0)}u(z)$$

and  $z^{n-1}$  is replaced by

$$K_0(z) = \frac{u(z) - u(0)}{z}$$

so that our perturbed shift. called a 'Clark operator' is of the form :

$$S_u^w = S_u + w(k_0 \otimes K_0)$$

Each Clark operator  $S_u^w$  is associated with a *Clark measure*  $d\mu_w^u$  on the unit circle  $\Pi$  such that  $S_u^w$  is unitarily equivalent to multiplication by z on  $L^2(\mu_w^u)$ 

In general the easier way to work with a model space  $K_u$  is to begin by assuming that u(0) = 0 so that  $k_0 = 1$  and  $K_0 = \frac{u}{z}$ , then using the Crofoot transform to transfer the results to arbitrary inner functions. In general the easier way to work with a model space  $K_u$  is to begin by assuming that u(0) = 0 so that  $k_0 = 1$  and  $K_0 = \frac{u}{z}$ , then using the Crofoot transform to transfer the results to arbitrary inner functions.

For the rest of this talk I will treat the case u(0) = 0; the generalization is straightforward.

# FINITE BLASCHKE PRODUCTS : EIGENVALUES AND EIGENVECTORS OF $S^{\alpha}_B$

What is really nice is that, as in the circulant case, if B is a Blaschke product of order n then :

What is really nice is that, as in the circulant case, if B is a Blaschke product of order n then :

(1) The eigenvalues of  $S^{\alpha}_{B}$  are simply the solutions of  $B(z) = \alpha$  which we shall call  $\{\xi^{\alpha}_{1}, \dots, \xi^{\alpha}_{n}\}$  and the eigenvector associated with  $\xi^{\alpha}_{k}$  is the reproducing kernel  $k^{B}_{\xi^{\alpha}_{k}}$  in  $\xi^{B}_{k}$ ; this 'kernel' function  $k^{B}_{\xi^{\alpha}}$  is defined by :

$$k_{\xi}^{B}(z) = \frac{1 - \overline{B(\xi)}B(z)}{1 - \overline{\xi}z}$$

What is really nice is that, as in the circulant case, if B is a Blaschke product of order n then :

(1) The eigenvalues of  $S^{\alpha}_{B}$  are simply the solutions of  $B(z) = \alpha$  which we shall call  $\{\xi^{\alpha}_{1}, \dots, \xi^{\alpha}_{n}\}$  and the eigenvector associated with  $\xi^{\alpha}_{k}$  is the reproducing kernel  $k^{B}_{\xi^{\alpha}_{k}}$  in  $\xi^{B}_{k}$ ; this 'kernel' function  $k^{B}_{\xi^{\alpha}}$  is defined by :

$$k_{\xi}^{B}(z) = \frac{1 - \overline{B(\xi)}B(z)}{1 - \overline{\xi}z}$$

(2)The Clark measure associated with  $S_B^{\alpha}$  is the measure

$$\mu^B_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{|B'(\xi^\alpha_k)|} \delta_{\xi^\alpha_k}$$

Now; if a function f is applied to  $S_B^{\alpha}$  the eigenvalues of of  $f(S_B^{\alpha})$  will be  $(f(\xi_k^{\alpha}))_{k=1}^n$  and so the trace of  $f(S_B^{\alpha})$  will be  $\sum_{k=1}^n f(\xi_k^{\alpha})$ . Thus

trace 
$$\frac{1}{|B'|} f(S_B^{\alpha})$$
 should be  $\int_0^{2\pi} f d\mu_{\alpha}^B$ .

Now; if a function f is applied to  $S_B^{\alpha}$  the eigenvalues of of  $f(S_B^{\alpha})$  will be  $(f(\xi_k^{\alpha}))_{k=1}^n$  and so the trace of  $f(S_B^{\alpha})$  will be  $\sum_{k=1}^n f(\xi_k^{\alpha})$ . Thus

trace 
$$\frac{1}{|B'|} f(S_B^{\alpha})$$
 should be  $\int_0^{2\pi} f d\mu_{\alpha}^B$ .

And, in 2011, Nicolas Sedlock, a student of Richard Rochberg wrote a thesis where he showed that the idea of circulants generalizes to truncated Toeplitz operators. He showed that, for any inner function u with u(0) = 0, the maximal subalgebras of  $K_u$  are of the form  $\mathcal{B}_u^{\alpha}$ , where  $A \in \mathcal{B}_u^{\alpha}$  if and only if :

$$T_u[\phi + \alpha \overline{u}(\phi - \phi(0))]$$
 for some  $\phi \in K_u$ .

#### An easier interpretation of Sedlock algebras

In order to obtain our results, we viewed these operators in a different way :

#### Theorem

Let *B* be an arbitrary inner function stisfying B(0) = 0. Suppose that  $T = T_B[\phi + \alpha \overline{u}(\phi - \phi(0))] \in \mathcal{B}_u^{\alpha}$ . Then the function  $\phi$  has radial limits almost everywhere with respect to  $\mu_{\alpha}$  and, if we denote the limit function by  $\phi^*$  then  $\phi^* \in L^{\infty}(\mu_{\alpha})$  and  $T = \phi^*(S_B^{\alpha})$ .

#### AN EASIER INTERPRETATION OF SEDLOCK ALGEBRAS

In order to obtain our results, we viewed these operators in a different way :

#### Theorem

Let *B* be an arbitrary inner function stisfying B(0) = 0. Suppose that  $T = T_B[\phi + \alpha \overline{u}(\phi - \phi(0))] \in \mathcal{B}_u^{\alpha}$ . Then the function  $\phi$  has radial limits almost everywhere with respect to  $\mu_{\alpha}$  and, if we denote the limit function by  $\phi^*$  then  $\phi^* \in L^{\infty}(\mu_{\alpha})$  and  $T = \phi^*(S_B^{\alpha})$ .

Thus we see that :

#### **Theorem** :

If  $\mu_{\alpha}$  is an atomic Clark measure associated with  $S_B^{\alpha}$  with support the sequence  $(\xi_n)$  and  $T = T_B[\phi + \overline{B}(\phi - \phi(0))]$  then

$$Trace(T^p) = \sum_{n} \phi^*(\xi_n) \text{ and so } Trace(\frac{1}{B'(z)}T^p) = \int_0^{2\pi} \phi^*(t)d\mu_{\alpha}^B.$$

All of this means that the same type of proof can be used to obtain a Szego theorem for TTOs. For a general sequence of inner function  $U_n = u_1...u_n$  the factor 1/n becomes the complicated operator  $\Delta_u^{\alpha}$  defined below, and then the question is, which type of sequences  $(u_1u_2...u_n)$  can replace the sequence  $(z^n)$ .

All of this means that the same type of proof can be used to obtain a Szego theorem for TTOs. For a general sequence of inner function  $U_n = u_1...u_n$  the factor 1/n becomes the complicated operator  $\Delta_u^{\alpha}$  defined below, and then the question is, which type of sequences  $(u_1u_2...u_n)$  can replace the sequence  $(z^n)$ .

Again writing  $k_{\zeta_k}^u$  for the reproducing kernel of  $K_u$  at the point  $\zeta_k$  we define the operator :

$$\Delta_u^{\alpha} := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{|u'(\zeta_k)|} \left( \frac{k_{\zeta_k}^u}{\|k_{\zeta_k}^u\|} \otimes \frac{k_{\zeta_k}^u}{\|k_{\zeta_k}^u\|} \right)$$

All of this means that the same type of proof can be used to obtain a Szego theorem for TTOs. For a general sequence of inner function  $U_n = u_1...u_n$  the factor 1/n becomes the complicated operator  $\Delta_u^{\alpha}$  defined below, and then the question is, which type of sequences  $(u_1u_2...u_n)$  can replace the sequence  $(z^n)$ .

Again writing  $k_{\zeta_k}^u$  for the reproducing kernel of  $K_u$  at the point  $\zeta_k$  we define the operator :

$$\Delta_u^{\alpha} := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{|u'(\zeta_k)|} \left( \frac{k_{\zeta_k}^u}{\|k_{\zeta_k}^u\|} \otimes \frac{k_{\zeta_k}^u}{\|k_{\zeta_k}^u\|} \right).$$

The condition needed to have the Szego theorem for a sequence  $(B_n)$ replacing  $(z^n)$  is to have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| \to 0$ , (easily verified by  $(z^n)$ )

#### Theorem 1[Strouse, Timotin, Zarrabi] :

Suppose that  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $j \ge 1$  and  $\sum_{j \ge 1} (1 - |\lambda_j|) = \infty$ . Define, for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $B_n = \prod_{j=1}^n b_{\lambda_j}$ , where  $b_{\lambda_j}(z) = \frac{|\lambda_j|}{\lambda_j} \frac{\lambda_j - z}{1 - \lambda_j z}$  is the Blaschke factor corresponding to  $\lambda$ . Then for  $\psi \in C(\Pi)$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  we have : (i)

$$\operatorname{Tr}(T_{B_n}[\frac{1}{|B'_n|}](T_{B_n}[\psi])^p) \to \int \psi^p \, dm.$$

If the function  $\psi$  is real-valued then, for every continuous function g on  $[\inf\psi,\sup\psi]$  we have : (ii)

$$\operatorname{Tr}(T_{B_n}[\frac{1}{|B'_n|}]g(T_{B_n}[\psi])^p) \to \int g \circ \psi^p \, dm.$$

This theorem works because, if  $(\lambda_j)$  is a Blaschke sequence, then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| \to 0.$ 

#### Theorem 2 [Strouse, Timotin, Zarrabi] :

Suppose that  $\alpha \in \Pi$ ,  $(\lambda_j)$  is a Blaschke sequence,  $B_n = \prod_{k=1}^n b_{\lambda_k}$  and  $B = \prod_{k=1}^\infty b_{\lambda_k}$ . Suppose also that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\Delta_{B_n}^\alpha\| \to 0$ . Then, if  $\psi \in (K_{B_N} + \overline{K_{B_N}}) \circ b_{-\lambda_k}$  for some k, N and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  we have :

$$Trace(\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}(T_{B_n}[\psi])^p) \to \int \psi^p d\mu_B^{\alpha}.$$

# When is or isn't the hypothesis $\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| \to 0$ satisfied?

To see that the hypothesis is satisfied when the sequence is not Blaschke, we notice that :

$$\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| = \sup_{1 \le j \le n} \frac{1}{|B'_n(\zeta_j^{(n)})|},$$

so that a sufficient condition for  $\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| \to 0$  would be  $\inf\{|B'_n(\zeta)|: \zeta \in \mathbb{T}\} \to \infty$ . And since, for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$  we have

$$|B'_n(\zeta)| \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - |\lambda_j|).$$

we see that if  $\sum_{j} (1 - |\lambda_j|) = \infty$  then  $\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| \to 0$ .

# When is or isn't the hypothesis $\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| \to 0$ satisfied?

To see that the hypothesis is satisfied when the sequence is not Blaschke, we notice that :

$$\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| = \sup_{1 \le j \le n} \frac{1}{|B'_n(\zeta_j^{(n)})|},$$

so that a sufficient condition for  $\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| \to 0$  would be  $\inf\{|B'_n(\zeta)|: \zeta \in \mathbb{T}\} \to \infty$ . And since, for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$  we have

$$|B'_n(\zeta)| \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - |\lambda_j|).$$

we see that if  $\sum_{j} (1 - |\lambda_j|) = \infty$  then  $\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| \to 0$ .

# When is or isn't the hypothesis $\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| \to 0$ satisfied?

To see that the hypothesis is satisfied when the sequence is not Blaschke, we notice that :

$$\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| = \sup_{1 \le j \le n} \frac{1}{|B'_n(\zeta_j^{(n)})|},$$

so that a sufficient condition for  $\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| \to 0$  would be  $\inf\{|B'_n(\zeta)|: \zeta \in \mathbb{T}\} \to \infty$ . And since, for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$  we have

$$|B'_n(\zeta)| \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - |\lambda_j|).$$

we see that if  $\sum_{j} (1 - |\lambda_j|) = \infty$  then  $\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| \to 0$ .

When  $(\lambda_j)$  is a Blaschke sequence, the situation is more complicated.

#### TWO EXAMPLES

Example 1 :(WHEN SZEGO HOLDS) Let( $\lambda_j$ ) to be the sequence of points in  $\mathbb{D}$  obtained by choosing on each circle of radius  $r_m = 1 - \frac{1}{m^4}$  a number of  $m^2$  equidistant points. Then ( $\lambda_j$ ) satisfies the Blaschke condition,  $(\sum (1 - |\lambda_i|) = \sum m^2 (1/m^4) < \infty$  but a concrete calculation shows that  $\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| \to 0$  is true, and so the conclusion of theorem 2 holds.

Example 1 :(WHEN SZEGO HOLDS) Let( $\lambda_j$ ) to be the sequence of points in  $\mathbb{D}$  obtained by choosing on each circle of radius  $r_m = 1 - \frac{1}{m^4}$  a number of  $m^2$  equidistant points. Then ( $\lambda_j$ ) satisfies the Blaschke condition,  $(\sum (1 - |\lambda_i|) = \sum m^2 (1/m^4) < \infty$  but a concrete calculation shows that  $\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| \to 0$  is true, and so the conclusion of theorem 2 holds.

Example 2 : A straightforward recursive construction gives a Blaschke sequence  $(\sum (1 - |\lambda_j|) < \infty)$ , an integer N, and a function  $\psi \in K_{B_N} + \overline{K_{B_N}}$  such that

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\Delta_{B_n} T_{B_n}[\psi]) \not\to \int \psi \, d\mu_{\alpha}^B.$$

In other words, if condition  $\|\Delta_{B_n}^{\alpha}\| \to 0$  is not satisfied, then the assertion (ii) in the above theorem is not necessarily true.

We would like to get some characterizations of Blaschke sequences for which Szego type results hold. And figure out how to analyze eigenvalues of big Toeplitz operators using our results. Finally, it would be interesting to obtain a Szego type result for singular inner functions.

# Thanks for your attention!