

The reachable space of the heat equation : a complex analysis approach

ANDREAS HARTMANN, KARIM KELLAY & MARIUS TUCSNAK
IMB UNIVERSITÉ DE BORDEAUX

Complex Analysis and Spectral Theory
A conference in celebration of Thomas Ransford's 60th birthday
May 21 to 25, 2018
Université Laval, Québec

We consider the the 1 - d heat equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}(t, x) & t \geq 0, x \in (0, \pi), \\ w(t, 0) = u_0(t), w(t, \pi) = u_\pi(t) & t \in [0, \infty), \\ w(0, x) = 0 & x \in (0, \pi), \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

Given $\tau > 0$, define the **input to state map** $(\Phi_\tau)_{\tau > 0}$ by

$$\Phi_\tau \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_\pi \end{pmatrix} = w(\tau, \cdot) \quad (\tau > 0, u_0, u_\pi \in L^2[0, \tau]),$$

Problem

Describe the **reachable set**

$$\text{Ran } \Phi_\tau = \left\{ w(\tau, \cdot) : w \text{ solving the heat equation with } u_0, u_\pi \in L^2[0, \tau] \right\}$$

$$\text{Ran } \Phi_\tau = \left\{ w(\tau, x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n \left(\int_0^\tau e^{n^2(\sigma - \tau)} (u_0(\sigma) + (-1)^n u_\pi(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) \sin(nx), x \in (0, \pi) \right\}$$

Known results

- [Fattorini, Seidman](#) $\text{Ran } \Phi_\tau$ does not depend on time
- $\text{Ran } \Phi_\tau \subset \text{Hol}(D)$ where

$$D = \{s = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : |y| < x \text{ and } |y| < \pi - x\}$$

- [Fattorini–Russel 1971](#)

$$\left\{ \psi(x) = \sum c_n \sin(nx) \quad x \in [0, \pi] : \sum_n |c_n|^2 n e^{n\pi} < \infty \right\} \subset \text{Ran } \Phi_\tau$$

- [Ervedoza-Zuazua 2012](#)

$$\left\{ \psi \in \text{Hol}(S) : \psi^{(2k)}(0) = \psi^{(2k)}(\pi) = 0 \right\} \subset \text{Ran } \Phi_\tau$$

where

$$S = \{s = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : |y| < \pi\}.$$

- [Martin-Rossier-Rouchon 2015](#)

$$\text{Hol}(B) \subset \text{Ran } \Phi_\tau$$

where

$$B = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s - \frac{\pi}{2}| < \frac{\pi}{2} e^{(2e)^{-1}}\}$$

- [Dardé-Ervedoza 2016](#)

$$\text{Hol}(D_\varepsilon) \subset \text{Ran } \Phi_\tau$$

where D_ε an ε -neighbourhood of D .

Main results

Theorem

For every $\tau > 0$ we have

$$E^2(D) \subsetneq \text{Ran } \Phi_\tau \subset A^2(D)$$

Fourier series expansion

Writing the solution $\Phi_\tau \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_\pi \end{pmatrix} (x) = w(t, x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} w_n(t) \sin(nx)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\tau \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_\pi \end{pmatrix} (x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} n \left[\int_0^\tau e^{n^2(\sigma-\tau)} u_0(\sigma) d\sigma \right] \sin(nx) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} n(-1)^{n+1} \left[\int_0^\tau e^{n^2(\sigma-\tau)} u_\pi(\sigma) d\sigma \right] \sin(nx) \quad (\tau > 0, x \in (0, \pi)), \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

$$\Phi_\tau \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_\pi \end{pmatrix} (x) = \int_0^\tau \frac{\partial K_0}{\partial x}(\tau - \sigma, x) u_0(\sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^\tau \frac{\partial K_\pi}{\partial x}(\tau - \sigma, x) u_\pi(\sigma) d\sigma,$$

where

$$K_0(\sigma, x) = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi\sigma}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\frac{(x+2m\pi)^2}{4\sigma}} \quad \text{and} \quad K_\pi(\sigma, x) = -K_0(\sigma, \pi - x) \quad (\sigma > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}),$$

Proof.

Apply the Poisson summation formula in the Fourier series representation



Proof of the Proposition : $\text{Ran } \Phi_\tau \subset A^2(D)$

$$\Phi_\tau \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_\pi \end{pmatrix} (x) = \int_0^\tau \frac{\partial K_0}{\partial x}(\tau - \sigma, x) u_0(\sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^\tau \frac{\partial K_\pi}{\partial x}(\tau - \sigma, x) u_\pi(\sigma) d\sigma,$$

where

$$K_0(\sigma, x) = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4\sigma}} - \underbrace{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi\sigma}} \sum_{m \neq 0} e^{-\frac{(x+2m\pi)^2}{4\sigma}}}_{\tilde{K}_0(\sigma, x)} \quad \text{and} \quad K_\pi(\sigma, x) = -K_0(\sigma, x - \pi)$$

$$\Phi_\tau \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_\pi \end{pmatrix} (x) = (\phi_\tau^0 u)(x) + \int_0^\tau \frac{\partial \tilde{K}_0}{\partial x}(\tau - \sigma, x) u_0(\sigma) d\sigma + (\phi_\tau^\pi u)(x) + \int_0^\tau \frac{\partial \tilde{K}_\pi}{\partial x}(\tau - \sigma, x) u_\pi(\sigma) d\sigma$$

where

$$(\phi_\tau^0 u)(s) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\tau \frac{s e^{-\frac{s^2}{4(\tau-\sigma)}}}{(\tau-\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} f(\sigma) \sigma d\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad (\phi_\tau^\pi u)(s) = (\phi_\tau^0 u)(\pi - s)$$

Since there exist $a, b > 0$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$ we have

$$\left| (s + k\pi) e^{-\frac{(s+k\pi)^2}{4(\tau-\sigma)}} \right|^2 \leq a k^2 e^{-\frac{bk^2}{\tau-\sigma}} \quad (s \in D).$$

It suffices to show that $\phi_\tau^0 u$ and $\phi_\tau^\pi u$ can be extended to a function in $A^2(D)$

A result of Aikawa, Hayashi and Saitoh

Let

$$\Delta = \left\{ s \in \mathbb{C} \mid -\frac{\pi}{4} < \arg s < \frac{\pi}{4} \right\}.$$

Let ω be a positive measurable function on Δ , then

$$A^2(\Delta, \omega) = \left\{ f \in \text{Hol}(D) : \int_D |f(x+iy)|^2 \omega(x+iy) dx dy < \infty \right\}$$

Theorem

For $s \in \Delta$, $\tau > 0$ and $f \in L^2[0, \tau]$ we set

$$(P_\tau f)(s) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\tau \frac{se^{-\frac{s^2}{4(\tau-\sigma)}}}{(\tau-\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} f(\sigma) \sqrt{\sigma} d\sigma.$$

Then P_τ defines an isometric isomorphism from $L^2[0, \tau]$ onto $A^2(\Delta, \omega_0)$, where

$$\omega_0(s) = \frac{e^{\frac{\text{Re}(s^2)}{2\tau}}}{\tau}.$$

Corollary

$$\phi_\tau^0 \in \mathcal{L}(L^2[0, \tau], A^2(D))$$

A result of Levin-Lyubarski

Let $\diamond : \{s : x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : |x| \leq \pi/2 \text{ and } |y| \leq \pi/2\}$

$$H(\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{2} \begin{cases} \operatorname{Im} \lambda & \text{if } \arg \lambda \in (\pi/4, 3\pi/4) \\ -\operatorname{Re} \lambda & \text{if } \arg \lambda \in (3\pi/4, 5\pi/4), \\ -\operatorname{Im} \lambda & \text{if } \arg \lambda \in (5\pi/4, 7\pi/4, \\ \operatorname{Re} \lambda & \text{if } \arg \lambda \in (5\pi/4, \pi/4). \end{cases}$$

We say that an entire function S belongs to the class S_\diamond if

- 1 The zero set Λ of S is separated : there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\inf\{|\lambda - \lambda^*| : \lambda, \lambda^* \in \Lambda\} \geq \delta > 0.$$

- 2 There exists $K > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R} \times e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}\mathbb{R}) < K.$$

- 3 There exists $A > 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{A} e^{H(z)} \operatorname{dist}(z, \Lambda) \leq |S(z)| \leq A e^{H(z)} \operatorname{dist}(z, \Lambda).$$

A result of Levin-Lyubarski

Theorem

Let Λ the zero set of $S \in S_\diamond$, the family $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a Riesz basis in $E^2(\diamond)$ where

$$e_\lambda(s) = e^{\lambda s} e^{-H(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda, s \in \diamond.$$

The family $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a Riesz basis for $E^2(D)$, if and only if, the operator

$$\begin{aligned} T_\Lambda: E^2(D) &\longrightarrow \ell^2(\Lambda) \\ f &\longmapsto \left(\langle f, e_\lambda \rangle_{E^2(D)} \right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \end{aligned}$$

is bounded and invertible from $E^2(D)$ to $\ell^2(\Lambda)$.

Corollary

Let $\Lambda = \{(2k+1)(1 \pm i) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. The family $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a Riesz basis in $E^2(D)$ where

$$e_\lambda(s) = e^{\lambda(s - \frac{\pi}{2})} e^{-H(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda, s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Lemma

let $\tau > 0$ and $\varphi \in E^2(D)$. Then there exists $\varphi_1 \in A^2(\Delta, \omega_0)$ and $\varphi_2 \in A^2(\pi - \Delta, \omega_\pi)$ such that

$$\varphi(s) = \varphi_1(s) + \varphi_2(s) \quad (s \in D).$$

here $\omega_\pi(s) = \omega_0(\pi - s)$, for $s \in \pi - \Delta$.

Proof.

Let $\varphi \in E^2(D)$, $\varphi = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda e_\lambda$

$$\varphi_1(s) = F(s) \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda \\ \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0}} a_\lambda e_\lambda(s) \quad s \in \Delta$$

$$\varphi_2(s) = F(\pi - s) \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda \\ \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0}} a_\lambda e_\lambda(s), \quad s \in \pi - \Delta$$

we use Hilbert's inequality to prove required estimates. □

Proof of the main result

Let

$$\tilde{K}_0(\sigma, x) = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi\sigma}} \sum_{m \neq 0} e^{-\frac{(x+2m\pi)^2}{4\sigma}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{K}_\pi(\sigma, x) = \tilde{K}_0(\sigma, \pi - x)$$

We decompose these functions as

$$\tilde{K}_0(\sigma, s) = A(\sigma, s) + B(\sigma, s), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{K}_\pi(\sigma, s) = C(\sigma, s) + D(\sigma, s),$$

where

$$A(\sigma, s) = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi\sigma}} \sum_{m \geq 1} e^{-\frac{(s+2m\pi)^2}{4\sigma}} \quad \text{and} \quad C(\sigma, s) = A(\sigma, \pi - s)$$

Denote

$$R_{A,\tau} u(s) = \int_0^\tau \frac{\partial A}{\partial s}(\tau - \sigma, s) \sqrt{\sigma} u(\sigma) d\sigma,$$

and similarly introduce $R_{B,\tau}$, $R_{C,\tau}$ and $R_{D,\tau}$.

$$\Phi_\tau \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_\pi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_\tau + R_{A,\tau} & R_{C,\tau} \\ R_{B,\tau} & Q_\tau + R_{D,\tau} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_\pi \end{pmatrix}$$

where $Q_\tau f(s) = P_\tau f(\pi - s)$ for $s \in \pi - \Delta$

Proof of the main result

Lemma

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} R_{A,\tau} & R_{C,\tau} \\ R_{B,\tau} & R_{D,\tau} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2[0,\pi] \times L^2[0,\pi], A^2(\Delta, \omega_0) \times A^2(\pi - \Delta, \omega_\pi))} = 0.$$

$$\Phi_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} P_\tau + R_{A,\tau} & R_{C,\tau} \\ R_{B,\tau} & Q_\tau + R_{D,\tau} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(L^2[0,\pi] \times L^2[0,\pi], A^2(\Delta, \omega_0) \times A^2(\pi - \Delta, \omega_\pi)).$$

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_\tau & 0 \\ 0 & Q_\tau \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(L^2[0,\pi] \times L^2[0,\pi], A^2(\Delta, \omega_0) \times A^2(\pi - \Delta, \omega_\pi))$$

is invertible

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} P_\tau & 0 \\ 0 & Q_\tau \end{pmatrix} \right\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} R_{A,\tau} & R_{C,\tau} \\ R_{B,\tau} & R_{D,\tau} \end{pmatrix} \right\| = 0.$$

Φ_τ is invertible for τ small.

Let $\varphi \in E^2(D)$. Then there exists a decomposition

$$\varphi(s) = \varphi_1(s) + \varphi_2(s) \quad (s \in D).$$

where $\varphi_1 \in A^2(\Delta, \omega_0)$ and $\varphi_2 \in A^2(\pi - \Delta, \omega_\pi)$. Since ϕ_{τ^*} is invertible for some small τ^* there exists $u_0, u_\pi \in L^2[0, \tau^*]$ such that

$$\phi_{\tau^*} \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_\pi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

So $E^2(D) \subset \text{Ran } \Phi_{\tau^*}$ and $\text{Ran } \Phi_\tau$ is independent of $\tau > 0$.

Newmann control

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}(t, x) & t \geq 0, x \in (0, \pi), \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(t, 0) = u_0(t), \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(t, \pi) = u_\pi(t) & t \in [0, \infty), \\ w(0, x) = 0 & x \in (0, \pi). \end{cases}$$

Given $\tau > 0$, the **input to state map** is

$$\Phi_\tau^N \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_\pi \end{pmatrix} = w(\tau, \cdot) \quad (\tau > 0, u_0, u_\pi \in L^2[0, \tau]),$$

Corollary

For every $\tau > 0$ we have

$$E^{2,1}(D) \subsetneq \text{Ran } \Phi_\tau^N \subset \mathcal{D}(D),$$

where

$$E^{2,1}(D) = \{f \in \text{Hol}(D) : f' \in E^2(D)\}$$

$$\mathcal{D}(D) = \{f \in \text{Hol}(D) : f' \in A^2(D)\}$$

