

Richard Fournier
Dawson College + Uof M

"On Sack's Lemma"

Joint work with Oli Roth
(Wuerzburg)

Lemma of Jack (SMOOTH)

$$F \in H(\bar{D}), \quad |F'(z)| = \max_{|z| \leq 1} |F'(z)| = \max_{|z|=|z|=1} |F'(z)| > 0$$

then

$$\frac{F'(z)}{F(z)} \geq 0 \quad \text{with equality only if } F \equiv K$$

Chine-Jack 1971

- ✓ Loewner (1930), ✓ Pólya-Szegő (1926)
- ✓ Hayman (1950)
- ✓ Endo (Bak-Neuman 1990)
- ✓ Miller-Mocanu (1980) Ruscheweyh (1980)
- ✓ Osserman (2000)
- ✓ Dubinin-Kim (2002)
- ✓ H.P. Boas (2010)
- ✓ Krantz (2010) ...
- ✓ Fournier (2001)

Lemma of Jack (LESS SMOOTH)

$F \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $f \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. $F(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. The following are equivalent:

✓ ① $\liminf_{z \rightarrow f} \frac{1 - |F(z)|}{1 - |z|} < \infty$

✓ ② $\lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow 1 \\ \lambda < 1}} F(\lambda f) := F(f)$ and $\lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow 1 \\ \lambda < 1}} F'(\lambda f) := F'(f)$ exist (finite)
 $|F(f)| = 1$

✓ ③ $\lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow 1 \\ \lambda < 1}} \frac{F(f) - F(\lambda f)}{f - \lambda f} = \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow 1 \\ \lambda < 1}} F'(\lambda f) := F'(f)$ exist (finite)
 $|F(f)| = 1$

Moreover ④ $0 < \frac{f F'(f)}{F(f)}$

∴ one proof is based on the Julia-Carathéodory Theorem which also yields

$$\lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow 1 \\ \lambda < 1}} \frac{1 - |F(\lambda f)|}{1 - \lambda} = \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow 1 \\ \lambda < 1}} \frac{1 - F(\lambda f)/F(f)}{1 - \lambda} = \frac{f F'(f)}{F(f)}$$

∴ the strict positivity in ④ also follows from the lemma of Hopf (as explained by T. Ransford in his book)

The following result can be obtained
without using the Julia-Carathéodory Theorem
or even the lemma of Julia

Jack's lemma :

$F \in H(\mathbb{D})$
 $F(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$, $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ with $\checkmark \lim_{0 < r < 1, r \rightarrow 1} F(r\zeta) := F(\zeta)$, $|F(\zeta)| = 1$
 $\checkmark \lim_{0 < r < 1, r \rightarrow 1} F'(r\zeta) := F'(\zeta)$ (finite)

Then $\boxed{\Re \frac{F'(\zeta)}{F(\zeta)} > 0}$

Hint: use $\frac{1+F(z)}{1-F(z)} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1+e^{-i\theta}z}{1-e^{-i\theta}z} d\mu(\theta)$

Julia's lemma : $\| F \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $F(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$, $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, $\liminf_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{1-|F(z)|}{1-|z|} < \infty$

$\|$ then $\frac{|1-F(z)\overline{F(\zeta)}|^2}{|1-|F(z)||^2} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-z\bar{\zeta}|^2} \leq \Re \frac{F'(\zeta)}{F(\zeta)}$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$
 $\|$ with equality for automorphisms of the disc.

In what follows, I shall prove

Jack's lemma \Rightarrow Julia's lemma
 (and more!)

|| Set of $\{z_k\} \subset \mathbb{D}$, $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, $\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ with
 (*) $\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \inf \frac{1 - |f(z)|}{1 - |z|} < \infty$.

|| Set $f_{k+1}(z) = \frac{1 - \bar{z}_k z}{z - z_k} \frac{f_k(z) - f_k(z_k)}{1 - \overline{f_k(z_k)} f_k(z)}$, $k \geq 0$
 $f_0 \equiv f$

|| Each function f_k satisfies (*) with

$$\frac{\Re f'_{k+1}(\zeta)}{f_{k+1}(\zeta)} = \frac{\Re f'_k(\zeta)}{f_k(\zeta)} \frac{1 - |f_k(z_k)|^2}{|1 - \overline{f_k(z_k)} f_k(\zeta)|^2} - \frac{1 - |z_k|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_k \zeta|^2}$$

and by Jack

$$0 \leq \frac{\Re f'_k(\zeta)}{f_k(\zeta)} = \frac{\Re f'(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)} \frac{1 - |f(z_0)|^2}{|1 - \overline{f(z_0)} f(\zeta)|^2} - \frac{1 - |z_0|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_0 \zeta|^2}$$

i.e. || $\frac{\Re f'(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)} \geq \frac{|1 - \overline{f(z_0)} f(\zeta)|^2}{1 - |f(z_0)|^2} \frac{1 - |z_0|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_0 \zeta|^2}$

(Julia!)

Iteration of this procedure shall yield ($n \geq 0$)

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \geq \sum_{\delta=0}^n \left(\prod_{k=0}^{\delta} \frac{|1 - \overline{f_k(z_k)} f(z)|^2}{1 - |f_k(z_k)|^2} \right) \frac{1 - |z_\delta|^2}{|1 - \overline{z_\delta} z|^2}$$

with equality if and only if f is a Blaschke product of order $n+1$

(some sort of "multi point" Lemma of Julia!)

In particular

$$\sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{\delta} \frac{|1 - \overline{f_k(z_k)} f(z)|^2}{1 - |f_k(z_k)|^2} \right) \frac{1 - |z_\delta|^2}{|1 - \overline{z_\delta} z|^2}$$

is convergent !

?

Two special cases

✓ i) take $\beta_k = 0$, $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{R'(z)}{R(z)} \right\| &\geq \sum_{\delta=0}^n \left(\prod_{k=0}^{\delta} \frac{|1 - \overline{f_k(0)} f(z)|^2}{1 - |f_k(0)|^2} \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{\delta=0}^n \prod_{k=0}^{\delta} \frac{1 - |f_k(0)|}{1 + |f_k(0)|} \end{aligned}$$

$n=0$ Osserman (2000)

$n=1$ Lecko and Uzan (2013)

↙ a lower bound depending on
 $|a_0|, |a_1|, \dots, |a_n|$ where

$$f(z) = \sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} a_{\delta} z^{\delta}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

in particular $\sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{\delta} \frac{1 - |f_k(0)|}{1 + |f_k(0)|}$ is convergent and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=0}^{\delta} \frac{1 - |f_k(0)|}{1 + |f_k(0)|} = 0$$

?

✓ ii) Let $F: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $\{z_k\}$ the zeros of F in \mathbb{D} and also assume that $\eta \in \mathbb{D}$ and

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow \eta} \frac{1 - |F(z)|}{1 - |z|} < \infty. \quad \text{Define}$$

$$F_{j+1}(z) = F(z) \prod_{k=0}^j \frac{1 - \bar{z}_k z}{z - z_k}$$

Then

$$\frac{F'_{j+1}(\eta)}{F_{j+1}(\eta)} = \frac{F'(\eta)}{F(\eta)} - \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{1 - |z_k|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_k \eta|^2} \geq 0$$

i.e. || under the hypothesis, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_k|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_k \eta|^2}$ converges $\leq \frac{F'(\eta)}{F(\eta)}$

✓ note: in the case where F is a Blaschke product, the convergence of $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_k|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_k \eta|^2}$ is necessary and sufficient for the existence of $F(\eta)$ and $F'(\eta)$. Further $\frac{F'(\eta)}{F(\eta)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_k|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_k \eta|^2}$. This is a result of Frostman (1945)

Known result:

$F \in H(\mathbb{D} \cup \{z\}), |z|=1, |F(z)| = \max_{|z| \leq 1} |F(z)| > 0.$

Then $\operatorname{Re}\left(1 + z \frac{F''(z)}{F'(z)}\right) \geq z \frac{F'(z)}{F(z)} \geq 0$

→ I have a proof that equality holds here if and only if $|F(z)|$ is constant for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ close enough to z .

What about the non-smooth case?
Which extra hypotheses are needed?